NRC Public Meeting on ADR October 18, 2002

Legal Bases for NRC Implementation of an Alternative Dispute Resolution Program

Ellen Ginsberg Deputy General Counsel Nuclear Energy Institute



Overview

- ► Under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996 the NRC has *authority* to implement an ADR program
- ► Since 1992, NRC has had a *Policy* supporting and encouraging the incorporation of ADR in NRC activities
- ► ADR is legally permissible as a part of an agency's enforcement process
- ► The Act provides agencies with fairly wide *latitude* in developing ADR appropriate processes



The Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996

- ► Permits use of ADR in *enforcement* cases 5 U.S.C. 572
 - ► ("An agency may use a dispute resolution proceeding for the resolution of an issue in controversy that relates to an administrative program, if the parties agree to such a proceeding.")
- ► "Administrative Program" 5 U.S.C. 571(2)
 - ▶ ("A Federal function which involves protection of the public interest and the determination of rights, privileges and obligations of private persons through rule making, adjudication, licensing, or investigation")



The Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996

- **►** Important Statutory Features
 - ► Confidential communications between the parties and the neutral are exempt from FOIA
 - ▶ "Neutral" is broadly defined
 - ► The government cannot unilaterally withdraw from a binding arbitration award
 - ► Previously, agency heads had *unilateral* authority to vacate any award within 30 days



Confidentiality

- ▶ General intent of Act is to maintain confidentiality
 - ▶ "dispute resolution communication"
 - ▶ "communication provided in confidence to the neutral"
- ► The Act provides exceptions
- ► Parties may agree to confidentiality procedures other than what is contained in the Act
 - ► Parties can, execute a confidentiality agreement to ensure confidentiality of joint sessions
- ► APA "Open Meeting" rules apply only at Commission level (see 5 U.S.C. 552b)



Neutrals

- ► Under the Act, parties may choose "a permanent or temporary officer or employee of the federal government or any other individual who is acceptable to the parties to a dispute resolution proceedings…"
 - ► Choice of mutually agreeable neutral is likely to promote parties' confidence in process and willingness to more fully support neutral's mediation efforts



Role of the Agency

- ► The Act does not require an agency to be a party to an ADR proceeding
- ► NRC can define its role based on the goal of the ADR proceeding; flexibility required
 - ► Reconciliation between licensee and worker (private remedy) v. Enforcement (NRC interest in work environment)
- ▶ NRC protects public interest
 - ▶ Agency observes process or is a disputant
 - ► Agency reviews proposed resolution to ensure the regulatory interests are addressed

